

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	i
Executive Summary	iv
Chapter 1 – Whether or not a viable regional transmission organization and adequate transmission exist in Nebraska or in a region that includes Nebraska.	
1.0 Purpose	I-2
2.0 Team Members	I-2
3.0 Summary	I-2
4.0 August 14, 2003 Blackout	I-2
5.0 Current Status of Regional Transmission Entities	I-3
5.1 Mid-Continent Area Power Pool	I-3
5.2 Midwest ISO	I-4
5.3 TRANSLink	I-6
5.4 Crescent Moon	I-6
5.5 Southwest Power Pool	I-6
6.0 FERC Rulemakings	I-6
6.1 FERC’s White Paper on Wholesale Power Market Platform	I-6
7.0 Organization of Midwest ISO States	I-7
8.0 Transmission Planning and Adequacy	I-7
8.1 MAPP Sub regional & Regional Transmission Plan Update	I-7
8.2 Midwest ISO Transmission Expansion Plan	I-7
9.0 Conclusions	I-9
Chapter 2- Whether or not a viable wholesale electricity market exists in a region which includes Nebraska.	
1.0 Introduction	II-2
1.1 Group’s Purpose and Membership	II-2
1.2 Approach	II-2
2.0 Viable Wholesale Market Definition	II-3
2.1 Economic Logic	II-3
2.2 Evolution of FERC Definition and tests for Market Power	II-3
2.3 Basic Elements of Traditional FERC “Hub and Spoke” Market Power Analysis	II-4
3.0 Region Defined	II-6
3.1 East/West Interconnection Description	II-6
3.2 Nebraska’s Portion of Each Interconnect	II-6
3.3 Eastern Interconnection Defined	II-6
3.4 ERCOT Interconnection	II-6
3.5 Western Interconnection Defined	II-6

3.6	Comparison of Region to that in Technical Group #1	II-7
4.0	New FERC Methods for Assessing Market Power	II-7
4.1	Reasons for Instituting New Methods	II-7
4.1.1	New Tests of Market Power	II-8
4.1.1.1	Modified “Hub and Spoke” Test	II-8
4.1.1.2	Electricity Market Models	II-8
4.1.1.3	Supply Margin Assessment	II-8
5.0	RTO Market Monitoring and Market Power Mitigation	II-9
5.1	Marketing Monitoring	II-9
5.2	Market Power Rules and Mitigation	II-9
6.0	Midwest Independent Operator (MISO) State of Market Report 2002	II-9
6.1	Report Overview	II-9
6.2	MISO Subregions Used in Market Power Tests	II-10
6.3	Reserve Margin Analysis	II-10
6.4	Transmission Request Analysis	II-10
6.5	Transmission Curtailment Analysis	II-11
6.5.1	Number and GWH Amount of MISO Electricity Transactions Curtailed	II-11
6.5.2	Justification of MISO TLR’s	II-12
6.5.3	TLR Price Effects Analysis	II-13
6.6	Pivotal Supplier Analysis	II-13
7.0	Effect of Standard Market Design on Market Power and Regional Prices	II-15
7.1	Department of Energy Analysis Assessing Impacts of Standard Market Design	II-15
7.2	Effect of Standard Market Design on Market Power	II-15
7.3	Effect of Standard Market Design on Regional Prices	II-16
8.0	Conclusion	II-16
8.1	Status of Viable Market in the Eastern Region	II-16
8.2	Status of Viable Market in the Western Region	II-17

Chapter 3 – To what extent retail rates have been unbundled in Nebraska

1.0	Purpose	III-2
2.0	Team Members	III-2
3.0	Status of Unbundling in Nebraska	III-2
4.0	Recap of Prior Years Reports	III-2

Chapter 4-A comparison of Nebraska’s wholesale electricity prices to prices in the region.

1.0	Introduction	IV-2
1.1	Purpose and Group Membership	IV-2
1.2	Approach	IV-2
2.0	Wholesale Market Terminology	IV-2
2.1	Market Product Definition	IV-2
2.2	Physical Product Definitions	IV-3
2.3	Comparison Concepts	IV-3
2.4	Market Product Time Period	IV-3
2.5	Market Product Categories	IV-3

2.6	Market Price and Production Cost Difference	IV-4
2.7	Market Price Volatility and Production Cost Stability	IV-4
2.8	Market Product Price	IV-5
2.9	Transmission Loss & Loss Considerations	IV-5
2.10	Nebraska Production Cost	IV-5
2.11	Long-Term “Obligation to Serve” Considerations	IV-6
2.12	Various Generation Unit Types Serving Load	IV-6
2.13	Ancillary Services Component	IV-6
2.14	Load Factor Considerations	IV-6
3.0	Market Product Pricing and Nebraska Production Cost Comparison Methodology	IV-7
3.1	Alternative Comparison Models	IV-7
3.2	Comparison Modeling Tool Detail	IV-7
3.3	Comparison Modeling Tool Applications	IV-9
4.0	Results of Modeling Tool Comparisons	IV-10
4.1	Time Period Utilized	IV-10
4.2	Sensitivity Cases Analyzed	IV-11
4.3	Median Market Pricing	IV-11
4.4	Megawatt-Hour (MWh) Weighted Fixed Costs Allocations	IV-12
4.5	Other Cost Allocation Issues	IV-12
4.6	Value of Long Term Obligation to Serve	IV-13
4.7	Results Based on Median Market Product Pricing Indices and Applying MWH-Weighted Fixed Cost Allocations to Nebraska Production Costs for 2000 through 2003	IV-13
4.8	Results of the Value of Long-Term Obligation to Serve Analysis	IV-16
5.0	Expected Differences Eastern Region to Western Region	IV-19
5.1	North American Electrical Interconnection	IV-19
5.2	Eastern Interconnection and Western Interconnection Generation Supply and Demand	IV-20
5.3	Western Region Market Compared to Eastern Region Market	IV-21
5.3.1	“Markets” or “Hubs”	IV-21
5.3.2	Volatility and Price Comparison	IV-21
5.4	Nebraska Production Costs	IV-21
5.4.1	Western Nebraska versus Eastern Nebraska Costs	IV-21
5.4.2	Stability	IV-22
6.0	Conclusion	IV-22

Chapter 5-Any other information the board believes to be beneficial to the Governor, the Legislature, and Nebraska’s citizens when considering whether retail electric competition would be beneficial, such as, but not limited to, an update on deregulation activities in other states and an update on federal deregulation legislation.

1.0	Purpose	V-2
2.0	Team Members	V-2
3.0	Introduction	V-2

3.1	Deregulation Overview	V-2
4.0	Status of Retail Competition in Selected States	V-3
4.1	Significant Legislative Actions Affecting the Implementation of Retail Choice	V-4
4.1.1	Arizona	V-4
4.1.2	California	V-5
4.1.3	Montana	V-5
4.1.4	Pennsylvania	V-6
4.1.5	New York	V-8
4.1.6	Connecticut	V-8
4.1.7	Maine	V-9
4.1.8	Rhode Island	V-10
4.1.9	Massachusetts	V-11
5.0	Recent Adopters of Retail Choice	V-13
5.1	Ohio	V-13
5.2	Illinois	V-15
5.3	Texas	V-16
6.0	Reconsideration of Retail Choice	V-21
6.1	Nevada	V-21
6.2	Arkansas	V-21
7.0	Federal Issues	V-22
8.0	Conclusions	V-23

Glossary